# The reliability of human clustering

Vijay Marupudi, V. N. Vimal Rao, Jimin Park, Rina Harsch, Jeffrey K. Bye, Sashank Varma University of Minnesota

### BACKGROUND

#### **Traveling Salesman Problem**

- Involves creating a tour which connects a set of cities while visiting each city exactly once.
- Computers find this problem hard.
- Humans are nearly optimal for low numbers of cities.
- Humans are pretty efficient, i.e., their solution time taken grows linearly with number of cities.
- It has been proposed that humans are guided by the external boundary of the cities (convex hull), but this strategy does not have a linear time complexity.

### Hypothesis

- People might be *clustering* the problems into mini-TSPs, solving them, and then connecting the clusters together.
- This strategy could enable near-optimal, lineartime performance.

#### **Research Questions**

- Is human clustering reliable to support this strategy?
- Is human TSP performance reliable?
- Do people's clusters predict their TSP performance / path?

## METHOD

**Participants:** N= 13 (ongoing till 40), undergraduate students.

Procedure: Participants clustered 112 dot clouds at a computer using a mouse. Measures: Number of clusters, cluster point membership, calculated Fowlkes-Mallows index, time to complete clustering.

### MATERIALS

Dot clouds (n = 112)

Clustered (n = 28)

Disperse (n = 28)

• • • • ••• • • • •

The stimuli were shown again, with either the same or flipped orientation.

Same (n = 28)

••••

Different (n = 28). • •

**Fowlkes-Mallows Index** Measure of reliability

$$FM = \sqrt{\frac{TP}{TP + FP}} \cdot \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

Analysis: Linear Mixed Effects Model **Fixed Effects:** 

• Structure (Clustered/Disperse)

- Orientation (Same/Flipped)
- Number of points (10 40)
- Structure x Orientation

Random Effects: Participant, Stimulus





### RESULTS



- High clustering reliability (M = 0.76)
- Main effect of orientation (p = 0.046), with higher reliability for same (M =(0.79) vs. different orientation (M =0.72) on second viewing.
- No effect of structure (p = 0.21), with comparable reliabilities for clustered vs. dispersed stimuli.
- No effect of structure x orientation interaction (p = 0.36)

## DISCUSSION

- High reliability suggests that clustering is a stable ability, and thus potentially a solid foundation for human TSP strategies.
- No duration differences for number of points suggests that clustering strategies might enable linear time complexity.



• •